

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/871,828	06/01/2001	Rob Klein	501095	2824
23626	7590 04/08/2004		EXAMINER	
LEYDIG VO	OIT & MAYER, LTD		KUHNS, A	ALLAN R
6815 WEAVER ROAD ROCKFORD, IL 61114-8018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ROCKFORD,	, IL 0111 1- 0010		1732	
			DATE MAILED: 04/08/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u>#\></u>
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/871,828	KLEIN, ROB	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan Kuhns	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address	;
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by set any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and a reply within the statutory minimum of the reirod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC statute, cause the application to become a	a reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	20 January 2004.		
,	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	*		its is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 12-23 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 4-10 is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	hdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐		o by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Br	ments have been received. ments have been received in priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	jė
Attachment(s)	_		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/871,828

Art Unit: 1732

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.Claims 1-3 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Withers in view of the admitted prior art as set forth in the specification at page 1 (Background Of The Invention) and Walt et al. as set forth in the previous Office action.
 - 3. Claims 12-23 are allowed.
- 4.Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

5.Applicant's arguments filed January 20, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserts that it seems to be a stretch that one skilled in the art of mogul machines for manufacturing starch molded products such as candy would look to the teachings of Walt et al. The examiner disagrees since Walt et al. are dealing with substantially the same problem, that of preventing outflow or spilling of filler material.

Applicant argues that Walt et al. teach the use of a drawn in upper edge 25, not lowering the level of the filler material below the top edge of a tray as (instantly) claimed. This is not persuasive because, in addition to using a drawn in upper edge, Walt et al. illustrate (in Figure 4) the aspect of keeping the filler material below the upper edge 25.

Art Unit: 1732

Applicant states that claim 1 recites "leveling the starch in the tray to a level below the top edge" and also argues that no such leveling operation appears in Walt et al. The examiner disagrees. Walt et al. at least suggest leveling since it is taught at column 6, lines 30-38 that heaps, such as 31a, may be dissipated or "blown away" and one of ordinary skill in the art would have realized that remaining filler material would form a substantially level layer.

6.**THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni, can be reached on (571) 272-1196. The fax phone

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/871,828

Art Unit: 1732

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ollan R. Kuhns ALLAN R. KUHNS PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

4-5-04